

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Onice Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/661,389	09/11/2003	Ovidiu Marin	Serie 5716	8407
7590 09/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Linda K. Russell			NGUYEN, HOANG M	
Intellectual Property Department Air Liquide			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2700 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 1800			3748	
Houston, TX 77056			DATE MAIL ED. 00/20/200	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/661,389	MARIN ET AL.	V			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	V			
		Hoang M Nguyen	3748				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress			
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a roperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state or reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of to will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ute. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) <u></u>		nis action is non-final.					
3)							
٠,	closed in accordance with the practice unde						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4 \⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	on.					
7/63	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.					
Applica	tion Papers						
a)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner					
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
10)[_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			FR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C	: 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
•) All b) Some * c) None of:	gir priority under 00 0.0.c	y, 3 110(a) (a) or (i).				
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		a Application No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			Stage			
	application from the International Bure						
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a li		not received.				
Attachme	int(e)						
	ini(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper I	No(s)/Mail Date	0.450)			
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 per No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) ∐ Notice 6) ☐ Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTC	J-10Z)			
raķ	701 110(3)/1VIAN DATO	-/ 🗀 🍮 🗆 .					

Application/Control Number: 10/661,389

Art Unit: 3748

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-19 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6619041. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday--Thursday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion, can be reached on (703)-308-2623. The fax phone number for the Examiner is (703) 872-9302 for regular communication, and (703) 872-9303 for after final communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

HOANG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen 9/17/04